

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/337,113	Applicant(s) HANNULA, ESKO	
	Examiner Kambiz Abdi	Art Unit 3621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Kambiz Abdi. (3) _____
 (2) Stephen J. Wyse. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 02 February 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 15, and 23.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The merits of independent claims 1, 15, and 23 were discussed. How the applicant inventive steps differ from the prior art as well as how the distinguishing elements can be incorporated within the claim language. It was agreed that once the claim language has been changed and proposed by the applicant examiner will consider the amended claims and will enter them as examiner's amendment if they met the discussed merits of the discussion as to distinguish the claims and put them in the conditions of allowance. The specific wording would be, the incorporation of "peer-to-peer" communication where ever appropriate, and specific reference to the type of terminal devices are covered such as "PDA, Cell phone, Hand Held Computers, Hand Held portable Gaming device, etc." within the language of the claims..